

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

1896

191 .

(police)

File No. 49

Serial Nos. 1-2

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 to 2 .

Major Part B for November 1896 .

SUBJECT.

*powers of the Inspector General of Police, with
regard to nominations for the Officers of
Head-Quarter Inspector &c.*

Indexed.

Conferment

27/11/95
for 2nd

1/Dec 95

26/4/95 (12)

Name

Inspector sent
was nominated
for these appointments
& Gt. mar-
Confirm a Veto
them.

12

26/4/95

As, under the present
resolution passed in Council
all appointments of and about
the rank of an^d have to
be passed in Council the

1. All 4th 2nd Insps of Str.

who, under
the standing
order, rank
at least

2. Special Insps

(Colon Kumar, &
Insps of Mysore &
Bangalore

who draw more
pay than that
allowed to 4th 2nd
Insps.

These appointments noted
in the margin come
under the said Reso-
-tion -

26/4/95

26.4.95

{ Under Secretary
to the Government

18

Recd from City 3/5/95
Circulated to Councillors

HA 3/5/95

20 Gables -

House Draft Rev accy for 1st
at

3/5/95 3/5/95

Survey
2/5/95

Forwarded to Gen Secy

HA
3/5/95

memo

10

~~The Richmond papers~~

The appended papers

namely (1) the Comci U's note of 26 April 95
with my note thereon - 1 of 1 -

(2) the order of in the first set of ^{max} ~~best~~ 95 which went far beyond what I intended

d (3) Mrs proposal (d 24 June 96)
and Mr Thamburaj letter
acceptance of it (d 2 July 96)

When read by the light of subsequent
Correspondence. Now some more
definite rule is necessary.

Mr. Madhava Rao has been often complaining that the rule itself is quite unsuitable ~~and~~ to a Semi military Dept - like the Police, and I have finally told him to submit his views officially - On receipt of his report, st he send one I would like to consider the subject from all points of view & adopt in consultation with Mr. Thambur (better name workable plan - /

29/4/96.

m with
 in none
 -
 L
 Dated 6/19/71
 all accounts payable
 are paid up
 121

What is
the best
to have
the for
the
not show

Events 2^x

received this
morning marked
confidential 30-11-96
J. —

To Secy - Please put up all
the cards to good use
of the Secy. I will see
to it - also the eng
for connected with the att
of I find of some kind of
the office of Police Secy to remove.

15

CONFIDENTIAL

The Confidential Memo rec'd
from the Genl of P will
be sent to Devereux with
this file. I have made
a few marginal notes
on Mr Matheson's memo
to the cons - in of the
'Devereux'. Since the order
of 6 May 1895 was passed
there has not been a
single instance in which
the Genl relaxed any power
made of the Genl & in my
humble opinion and for reasons
stated in my marginal notes
there seems to be nothing for modifying
the order

Wm Matheson (initials)
15.12.96

Subd to Devereux
16/12/96

No orders are
reg'd in this
matter

16/12/97
Subd to
Devereux
16-12-97
17/12/97

(13)

No 19777 of 6 May 95
81212
12

Proceeds of the Port of Singapore.

SECTION	<u>Police</u>
FILE No.	<u>105</u>
SERIAL No.	<u>11114</u>

Order.

decided / certain.
As it has been ruled that all
app'ts to the public service office
above the grade of sergeant shall
be reported to and sanctioned by Govt.
he, therefore, forward, it is hereby
directed that nominations made
by the Inspector Genl of Police for
the nominally noted officers in the
Police Dept. shall be submitted
future for the orders of the Govt.

B. O.
1895

Shd have been
Comprehension

6 May 1895

T. The Inspector Genl of Police in reply.

I don't want a
forward addn. Term
if it is understood
that Govt will only
exercise what is
the "Veto" power

This order is worded
Very differently from
what I intended
It shd be corrected
I think

17/96
11/2/97

Dear. The
app'ts referred
to are now
reported for
confirmation
made by Govt is not
afforded by Govt - which must be a very
rare conference of Govt. Conf. etc.
of the Govt.

- (1) Police Inspector Genl of Police
- (2) Special Inspector Genl of Police
- (3) Police Inspector of the Singapore & F.M.S.

18

OFFICE OF THE
INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

No. A

Dated... 29th November 1896.

Enc.

FROM

THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE
IN MYSORE.

SECTION	<i>P. C. S.</i>
FILE No.	<i>4794</i>
SERIAL No.	<i>2</i>

TO

THE ~~GENERAL~~ SECRETARY TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

Revenue and General Department.

SIR,

I have the honor to forward herewith under a sealed cover a confidential memorandum of Government for the Considerations and for orders thereon.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,

your most obedient
servant,

M. Madhavadrao
Inspector General of Police
in Mysore

From

*The Inspector General of Police
in Mysore.*

To

*The General Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.*

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.
No.

Enclosure No.

12

OFFICE OF THE
INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

No. A

Dated 29th November 1896.

Enc.

FROM

THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE
IN MYSORE.

SECTION	<i>P. L. S.</i>
FILE No.	<i>4741</i>
SERIAL No.	<i>2</i>

TO

THE ~~GENERAL~~ SECRETARY TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

Revenue and General Departments.

SIR,

I have the honor to forward herewith under a sealed cover a confidential memorandum of Government for the Considerations and for orders thereon.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,

Your most obedient
servant,

M. Madhavadrao
Inspector General of Police
in Mysore

and to state that if
allowed to remain
unmodified they may
lead to the efficiency
of the Police admini-
stration being
seriously impaired

2. The order has
already created an
impression in the
minds of the Subordi-
nate officers that
they have to look to
others than the head
of the Department for
recognition and
promotion, and an
idea also prevails
that my powers of
punishment

I really do not understand this.
Even the Chief of Police for
the approval of Govt. nor my
officer's office - the Govt. perhaps
power of voting - does that
intention with the approval of the
President? BTJ

The Subordinate Officers have. No one
not a of 1/2 then immediate
appeal before for recognition
of promotion but also to
Govt. for the proper disposal
of promotions as each case.

Then as we proceed
|- such a surprise
CH

Remembering that these
two petitioners thought
wrong that suspension
was done & then -
and appealed that -
a right which is not
denied. It even is a
condemned common -
is that a reason for
discontinuing appeals -
that of depths must
be regarded as infallible
if such appeals are
then discontinued
CH

punishment and reward
have been curtailed.

3. I have only to
cite the instances of
the two appeals, both
from the Mysore District,
pending against
my orders of reduction.

The tone of the petitions
is significant and
is noticeably different
from what it would
have been if the
department looked
upon its head as
vested with the full
powers of his position.
I should not be
surprised if, following
this

Following this example,
other Inspectors and
even Officers lower
in rank fall off
from their habits of
discipline and deference
to authority and adopt
a tone of carping cri-
ticism and even
downright disrespect
towards their superiors.

4. This, it need
not be pointed out,
is the last thing to be
countenanced in
a department like
the Police & the well-
being of which discipline
is of the first importance.

There is more
sentiment than
real firmness
in the Statist
made here -

AM

Discipline must of
course be maintained
at all ^{times} - but at
the same time man-
be a soldier or police-
man - must have his
right of representation
but if he is cowardly, ^{unfit} ^{unfit}

2/

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA

5. I trace these facts

and others which have not assumed such a concrete shape but which are not less significant, or less detrimental to the

a very general statement - a reason which carries its own refutation
AT

interests of the Department to the order of Government, above quoted, as I have had no experience

The tone of Mr. Malabar's remarks' communications is not in one or two instances has been found wanting - The latter he mentions about the appointment of certain appointments, have asked the Govt. to be done is another matter of the cotton records on the policy of the present administration. As the Com^{rs} are before the Dewan I abstain from making any comments here
AT

of such petitions or such a general bumper in the subordinate ranks under the former order of things; and I ought to

o be a good judge of
these matters ~~as I~~
have been now
+ years and 6 months
in charge of the Police
Department.

b. The orders, moreover,
have no precedent
in other administrations,
nor in the previous
history of the Police De-
partment in Mysore.
In passing the Order
the Government make
a departure for the
first time from a
procedure uninterrupted
followed over more
than 24 years. ^{both} ~~Both~~
during

The Dept is clear
wrong here —
~~order~~ - vide Code
CC's Order 25/Jan/28
N° 755 - para 3

wrong - It is not so - on
the face of the Circular
order of CCs quoted
above. AM

during the time of the British Commission and during the Rule of His Highness the late Maharaja.

7. In "the Rules for the organisation and working of a regular Police" etc framed in 1872 Rule 7 vests the power of sanctioning all nominations to the grade of Inspectors of all grades in the Judicial Commissioner who was the Inspector General of Police. The Rule is given in the margin.

17
All nominations to the grade of Inspector shall require the formal sanction of the Judicial Commissioner, and no officer of this grade shall be reduced or dismissed without the approval of the Judicial Commissioner.

8. There was no —
modification of these
Rules until the draft
Police Rules were drawn
up, I believe in 1885,
by the then Police —
Secretary to the Dewan.

These have not received

the sanction of —
government, but I
give them in the
margin as they
are.

no - rule order
of 25 Jan 1881 should
quoted

The order says in
para 1 the Rules
in the Manual
should be laid
down in the order
from S.

* Drafted - but
the order says the Rules
should be given in the
Manual as his order
in connection with
the Rules should be
not

x XIV All nominations to the grade
of Inspector shall require
the formal sanction of the
Dewan, and approval of
the Dewan and no officer
of this grade shall be
reduced or dismissed
without the approval of the
Dewan.

31

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

They however, simply

vest the powers of the
Inspector General
in the Dewan.

9. Whatever the
authority of these
Rules may have
been, the Govt. of Mysore
in Their Proceedings
No. 1265-9 dated 27th October
1885 relieved "the
Dewan of "the powers
"and duties of Inspector
"General of Police, vested
"in and imposed
"upon him" and
appointed a Separate
Officer as Inspector
General

Notes & observations
of I Genl vested
in the Dewan
do not include
the inspection powers
of Govt - B.S.

General of Police. I quote
the order of Government
in the margin.

10. The Inspector General
thus appointed continued
to exercise the full
powers pertaining
to his office until
1895 when the order of
Govt. quoted in para 1
was passed, whereby
appointments to certain
posts which were hitherto
being made by him
were taken out of
his hands. These
orders were passed
without previous
notice to the Inspector

"
x The arrangement under
which the powers and duties
of Inspector General of Police
are vested in and imposed
upon the Dewan is not
found to work satisfactorily
as the Dewan owing
to his varied and
important other duties
as the executive
head of the adminis-
tration, is unable
to give to the Police Dept.
that personal attention
which is essential for
its efficiency. His
Highness the Maha-
-rajah's Govt. therefore
resolve to appoint a
separate officer as the
Inspector General of
Police and to abolish
the appointment of
Police Secretary to the
Dewan."

No previous notice
was in any manner
serving - The adminⁿ of the
Police is involved in the

Head subject to the
central superintendent
Council of Govt - subject
of Inspectors are regulated
under such Rules as may be
from time to time hoped for

The persons are
the Council Inspectors
reserved custom
subject to the
of Council. The
1st Inspectors and
the other Inspectors
referred to in the
order were regarded
as equal in rank
to others and as
from time to time
selection had been
made from among
1st & 2nd Inspectors of the other
Chief Inspectors for promotion
to the higher ranks of
the service either as
Commissioners or as
Deputy Commissioners,
the fact
very strongly requires
the Head to report
The nominations of the
Officers of 1st & 2nd Inspectors to
be confirmed
or order of Govt -

General need without
his being consulted.
No reasons have
been assigned for
taking away the
powers of the Inspector
General which had
been conferred on
him by law and
which he had been
exercising without
a break from the
time of the Re-organisa-
tion of the Police
in 1874, whether the
functions of the Inspector
General were dischar-
ged by the Judicial
Commissioner, or
Dewan

Dewan or by a separate
Officer.

1. The Mysore law
follows the law of
British India. Act
of 1861 sections 4 & 7
provide that all
appointments below
those of Superintendents
and Assistant Super-
intendents shall
under such rules
as the local Govt.
shall from time
to time sanction,
rest with the Inspector
General and Officers
under him, and
the

All laws passed
in the British
Presidencies clearly
declare that the
Head of a State
exercise administrative
authority & functions
subject to the general
superintendence & con-
trol of the Govt. —
The Bombay Act IV of
1890 further provides
that the appointments of
Officers of the Govt. shall
be subject to the rules
sanctioned by Govt. —
B.H.

4/

There is no
use of referring
to draft ^{CC 13}
under countⁿ
Even that
reserves of
previous number
1/3 are contained
in the Bombay Code
All

the Draft Regulation
for Mysore, which has
been now some years
before Government,
makes a similar
provision in Section
7.

12. I would, in
the interests of the
efficient adminis-
-tration of the Police
Department which
I have now the
honor to have under
my charge, venture
to request that the
order referred to in

Para

If we are to
be promoted as an
and fifth men from
the staff of Super Inspr
the fact should have

Para 1 may be so modified
as to leave intact
the powers that I was
holding before the date
of the order, and which
all Inspectors General
hold by virtue of their
office.

13. The objects of
Government in passing
the above order will
be served just as
well by asking
me to report all
such appointments
made by me or by
framing rules for
my

^{of vote}
the power reserved
by the order that
operation is taken -
a power which
will be obviously
secured. Since
the order was passed
there has not been
a single instance
in all the years
'nom' was voted
B/H

The order in question
simply requires the
Genl to report the
nom^s made from
for the orders of Genl.
The Genl will either
conform the nom^s
vote them - B/H

her guidance in
making the appoint-
-ments.

126 Madhavadas
Inspector of
Police in Mysore

29th November 1896
Bangalore